

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

٠.	COMMISSIONER FOR LATERIS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alamandaia Vinalaia 22212 1460
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www nento gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,156	06/24/2003	Robert J. Curran	POU920030006US1	9977	
7	590 01/11/2006		EXAMINER		
Lawrence D. Cutter, Attorney			MIZRAHI, DIANE D		
IBM Corproati	on				
Intellectual Property Law Dept., M/S P386			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2455 South Rd	2455 South Rd.			2165	
Poughkeepsie,	Poughkeepsie, NY 12601		DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•		10/602,156	CURRAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		DIANE D. MIZRAHI	2165				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in the property of the main and the property of the propert	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,		 action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is				
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
_	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			v the Examiner.				
,,	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 May 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔀 Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 6-217-03	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/602,156 Page 2

Art Unit: 2165

III. DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-9 are presented for examination and are pending.

Drawings

The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on May 8, 2003 are acceptable for examination proceedings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, the claims are not directed towards the final result that is "useful, tangible and concrete

(See State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

According to the New Guidelines of October 26, 2005, which states that "A claim limited to a machine or manufacture, which has a practical application, is statutory. In most cases a claim to a specific machine or manufacture will have a practical application. See Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1544, 31 USPQ2d at 1557)...

Art Unit: 2165

a specific machine to produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result and State Street, 149 F.3d at 1373-74 USPQ2d at 1601-02).

(Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility http%3A%2F%2Fwww.uspto.gov%2Fweb%2Foffices%2Fpac%2Fdapp%2Fopla%2Fpreognotice%2Fguidelines101_20051026.pdf)

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to a non-statutory subject matter, specifically, directed towards "computer usable medium".

According to Applicant's specification (Page 8 paragraph 1) is evident that Applicant intends for "computer usable medium" to include embodiments where the medium is not limited to the media that the Office believes are capable of realizing the underlying functionality of the instructions.

Specifically, while the volatile and not-volatile embodiments would be statutory, the transmission media as described in the specification is not believed to be covered by any of the statutory categories of invention nor would it enable any underlying functionality to be realized. Note that deleting subject matter from the specification may raise the issue of new matter. However, rephrasing is permissible in accordance with MPEP 2163.07.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,156 Page 4

Art Unit: 2165

Therefore, Examiner believes that the above listed claims are nonstatutory.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claims 1, Examiner is unclear as what is meant by "relevant". What are "relevant inodes"?

Regarding Claim 3, Examiner is unable to determine what is meant by "its". Further clarification is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,156

Art Unit: 2165

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rao et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,689,706 and Rao hereinafter).

Regarding Claim 1, Rao teaches for backing up a file system comprising the steps of: generating a list of inodes, in inode number order, that have changed, said list including inode numbers (col 12, lines 12-29) (col 14, lines 39-50) (Figure 11); generating a table which has names and inode numbers for all files currently in the file system (col 10, lines 55-64) (col 11, lines 42-51); sorting said list by inode number (col 11, lines 52-67); merging said list and said table by inode number, whereby relevant inodes and file names are provided in a single entity Icol 12, lines 1-28) (col 12, lines 30-49); and backing up files based on said merged list and table (col 12, lines 30-49).

Regarding Claim 2, Rao teaches inode number also includes a generation number (col 12, lines 58-65).

Regarding Claim 3, Rao teaches storing said merged list and table in its own file, referred to as a shadow name space file (col 15, lines 43-61).

Regarding Claim 4, Rao teaches accessing said shadow name

Application/Control Number: 10/602,156

Art Unit: 2165

space file in blocks (Figure 14) (col 17, lines 50-67 to col 18, lines 1-15).

Regarding Claim 5, Rao blocks are partitioned and said backing up is performed by at least two independent processors with each processor backing up files indicated in said partition. (Figure 3).

Regarding Claim 6, Rao teaches partitioning is based upon estimated time for completion of file backup for files indicated in said partition (col 23, lines 10-38).

Regarding Claim 7, Rao teaches backup is carried out in the same order as indicated in said merged list and table (col 7, lines 43-54).

Regarding Claims 8-9, these claims are similar in scope to the rejected claims above and are therefore rejected as set forth above.

Other Prior Art Made of Record

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure. U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications will not be supplied

Application/Control Number: 10/602,156 Page 7

Art Unit: 2165

with Office actions. Examiners advises the Applicant that the cited U.S. patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR. As an alternate source, all U.S. patents and patent application publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources. For the use of the Office's PAIR system, Applicants may refer to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html or 1-866-217-9197.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diane D. Mizrahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4079. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Gaffin can be reached on (571) 272-4146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 305-3900 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2165

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Diane Mizrahi

Primary Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100

January 6, 2005